

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,472	03/17/2004	David Bogart Dort	3510-2-14-A	5470
42047	7590 06/28/200		EXAMINER	
	ath-EMI Solutions L	TRAN, DALENA		
1700 Diagonal Road, 300 Attn: David Bogart Dort			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/803,472	DORT, DAVID BOGART	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dalena Tran	3661	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of the second section approximation and the second section approximation approximation approximation and the second section approximation appr	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence	address
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMI .136(a). In no event, however, d will apply and will expire SIX tte, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	. ,
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for forma	· •	the merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1,3 and 9-14 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraward. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,9-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers	awn from consideration		
10)[The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) object e drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the dr	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) awing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been receive nts have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this Nation	al Stage
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Pap 3) 5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (P er:	PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/803,472 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This office action is responsive to the phone election (4/5/06) to elect without traverse claims 1,3, and 9-14. Thus, claims 1, 3, and 9-14 are pending.
- 2. In reviewing the IDS (submitted on 10/21/04), the consideration (in the office action sent 11/10/05) of two other prior art non patent literature documents section (page 5 of 5) is withdrawn due to unclear prior art document submitted, and because the examiner overlook the documents, therefore, she was not recognize that there is unclear documents submitted as below:

In page 5 of 5 of IDS submitted on 10/21/04, the two documents:

- A. (ACCELERATION) USING RF (ID) by NERAC, Jay Zocco, Investigator, February 19, 2004. (400 pages).
- B. (ACCELERATION) USING RF (ID) by NERAC, Jay Zocco, Investigator, February 23, 2004. (20 pages).

Those two documents were not in the parent application (10/786177).

- 3. The prior art submitted on 1/18/06 has been considered.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*,

Application/Control Number: 10/803,472

Art Unit: 3661

422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1, and 3, are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 12 of copending Application No. 10/786177. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because subject matters of claim 12 in application No. 10/786177 reads on claims 1, and 3 of 10/803472.

Claim 9, is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 20 of copending Application No. 10/786177. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because subject matters of claim 20 in application No. 10/786177 reads on claim 9 of 10/803472.

Claims 10-13, are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-17 of copending Application No. 10/786177. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Art Unit: 3661

not patentably distinct from each other because subject matters of claims 13-17 in application No. 10/786177 read on claims 10-13 of 10/803472.

Claim 14, is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13, 16, and 19 of copending Application No. 10/786177. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because subject matters of claims 13, 16, and 19 in application No. 10/786177 reads on claim 14 of 10/803472.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's argument filed on 11/28/05 has been fully considered. Upon updated search, the new ground of rejection as above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM-4:00 PM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Dalenton

Dalena Tran

June 23, 2006